University of Dundee

Species coexistence in self-organised patterned ecosystems: insights from mathematical modelling

Maths seminar - 03/05/2021

Lukas Eigentler

Patterned ecosystems

- Scale dependent feedback loops cause pattern formation in ecological systems.
- Local facilitation: e.g. increased water infiltration in vegetated areas, . . .
- Long-range competition: e.g. competition for a limiting resource.
- Self-organisation into colonised and uncolonised areas is typically associated with high environmental stress.
- Unidirectional resource flux leads to stripe patterns.

Vegetation pattern & mussel beds.












Positive feedback loop: Water infiltration into the soil depends on local plant density \Rightarrow redistribution of water towards existing plant patches \Rightarrow further plant growth.




Positive feedback loop: Water infiltration into the soil depends on local plant density \Rightarrow redistribution of water towards existing plant patches \Rightarrow further plant growth.




Positive feedback loop: Water infiltration into the soil depends on local plant density \Rightarrow redistribution of water towards existing plant patches \Rightarrow further plant growth.




Positive feedback loop: Water infiltration into the soil depends on local plant density \Rightarrow redistribution of water towards existing plant patches \Rightarrow further plant growth.




Positive feedback loop: Water infiltration into the soil depends on local plant density \Rightarrow redistribution of water towards existing plant patches \Rightarrow further plant growth.




Positive feedback loop: Water infiltration into the soil depends on local plant density \Rightarrow redistribution of water towards existing plant patches \Rightarrow further plant growth.




Positive feedback loop: Water infiltration into the soil depends on local plant density \Rightarrow redistribution of water towards existing plant patches \Rightarrow further plant growth.



Positive feedback loop: Water infiltration into the soil depends on local plant density \Rightarrow redistribution of water towards existing plant patches \Rightarrow further plant growth.



Positive feedback loop: Water infiltration into the soil depends on local plant density \Rightarrow redistribution of water towards existing plant patches \Rightarrow further plant growth.



Patterned ecosystems

- Scale dependent feedback loops cause pattern formation in ecological systems.
- Local facilitation: e.g. increased water infiltration in vegetated areas, . . .
- Long-range competition: e.g. competition for a limiting resource.
- Self-organisation into colonised and uncolonised areas is typically associated with high environmental stress.
- Unidirectional resource flux leads to stripe patterns.

Vegetation pattern & mussel beds.









Patterned ecosystems


- Coexistence typically occurs despite competition for a single limiting resource.
- Coexistence occurs on the scale of a single stripe (i.e. no spatial segregation).
- What mechanisms cause coexistence?
- Classical result: intraspecific competition.
- More recent: spatial self-organisation (i.e. local facilitation)
- What is the impact of these two contrasting processes on coexistence?

Vegetation pattern & mussel beds.









Klausmeier model

One of the most basic phenomenological models is the extended Klausmeier reaction-advection-diffusion model.¹

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \underbrace{u^2 w}_{\text{resource input}} - \underbrace{Bu}_{\text{natural resource depletion}}^{\text{consumer death}} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}}_{\text{resource consumption by consumers}} + \underbrace{\nu \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}}_{\text{unidirectional resource diffusion}}^{\text{down}} + \underbrace{d \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2}}_{\text{resource diffusion}}^{\text{resource diffusion}}$$

The model describes interactions between the limiting resource and a single consumer species.

¹Klausmeier, C. A.: *Science* 284.5421 (1999).

Multispecies Model

Multispecies model based on the extended Klausmeier model².

$$\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} = \underbrace{wu_1 \left(u_1 + Hu_2\right)}_{\text{consumer growth}} - \underbrace{B_1 u_1}_{\text{consumer mortality}} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial^2 u_1}{\partial x^2}}_{\text{consumer dispersal}},$$

$$\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t} = \underbrace{Fwu_2 \left(u_1 + Hu_2\right)}_{\text{resource}} - \underbrace{B_2 u_2}_{\text{resource consumption by consumers}} + \underbrace{D\frac{\partial^2 u_2}{\partial x^2}}_{\text{unidirectional resource flux}} + \underbrace{D\frac{\partial^2 u_2}{\partial x^2}}_{\text{resource diffusion}} + \underbrace{D\frac{\partial^2 u_2}{\partial x^2}}_{\text{resource flux}} + \underbrace{D\frac{\partial$$

Species only differ quantitatively (i.e. in parameter values) but not qualitatively (i.e. same functional responses). Assume u_1 is superior coloniser; u_2 is locally superior.

²Klausmeier, C. A.: *Science* 284.5421 (1999).

Multispecies Model

Intraspecific competition (other than that for the resource) may be considered.


$$\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} = wu_1 \left(u_1 + Hu_2 \right) \left(1 - \frac{u_1}{k_1} \right) - \underbrace{B_1 u_1} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial^2 u_1}{\partial x^2}},$$

$$\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t} = Fwu_2 \left(u_1 + Hu_2 \right) \left(1 - \frac{u_2}{k_2} \right) - \underbrace{B_2 u_2} + \underbrace{D\frac{\partial^2 u_2}{\partial x^2}},$$

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = \underbrace{A}_{\substack{\text{resource} \\ \text{input}}} - \underbrace{w}_{\substack{\text{natural resource} \\ \text{depletion}}} - \underbrace{w \left(u_1 + u_2 \right) \left(u_1 + Hu_2 \right)}_{\substack{\text{resource consumption by consumer}}} + \underbrace{v\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}}_{\substack{\text{unidirectional resource} \\ \text{diffusion}}} + \underbrace{d\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2}}_{\substack{\text{resource flux}}}.$$


Species only differ quantitatively (i.e. in parameter values) but not qualitatively (i.e. same functional responses). Assume u_1 is superior coloniser; u_2 is locally superior.

Simulations




- In the absence of intraspecific competition, consumer coexistence is captured, but not under high environmental stress.
- Solutions are periodic travelling waves and move in the direction opposite to the unidirectional resource flux.

Simulations




- If intraspecific competition dynamics are strong, consumer coexistence is captured for high environmental stress.
- Solutions are periodic travelling waves and move in the direction opposite to the unidirectional resource flux.

Bifurcation diagram




Bifurcation diagram: one wavespeed only




 The bifurcation structure of single-species states is identical with extended Klausmeier model.

Bifurcation diagram




Bifurcation diagram: one wavespeed only




- The bifurcation structure of single-species states is identical with extended Klausmeier model.
- Coexistence pattern solution branch connects single-species pattern solution branches.

Pattern onset



Essential spectrum in single-species model




Essential spectrum in multispecies model

- The key to understand coexistence pattern onset is knowledge of single-species pattern's stability.
- Tool: essential spectra of periodic travelling waves, calculated using the numerical continuation method by Rademacher et al.³
- Pattern onset occurs as the single-species pattern loses/gains stability to the introduction of a competitor.

³Rademacher, J. D., Sandstede, B. and Scheel, A.: *Physica D* 229.2 (2007)


Pattern existence




- uniform u_1 - uniform u_2 - single species pattern u_1 - single species pattern u_2 - coexistence pattern u_1, u_2

- Key quantity: Local average fitness difference $B_2 FB_1$ determines stability of single-species states in spatially uniform setting.
- Condition for pattern existence:
 Balance between local competitive and colonisation abilities.


Pattern existence






- Key quantity: Local average fitness difference B₂ - FB₁ determines stability of single-species states in spatially uniform setting.
- Condition for pattern existence:
 Balance between local competitive and colonisation abilities.


Pattern existence





- Key quantity: Local average fitness difference B₂ - FB₁ determines stability of single-species states in spatially uniform setting.
- Condition for pattern existence:
 Balance between local competitive and colonisation abilities.


Pattern stability



- For decreasing resource input, coexistence state loses stability to single-species pattern of coloniser species.
- Transition occurs at moderate environmental stress ⇒ no coexistence in the sense of a patterned ecosystem.

Stability regions of system states.


Effects of intraspecific competition



Stability regions of system states.

- Intraspecific competition among colonisers stabilise coexistence in patterned state.
- Intraspecific competition among locally superior species enables spatially uniform coexistence (not shown).
- Omission of intraspecific competition leads to overestimation of single-species pattern resilience.


Hysteresis




Wavelength contours of stable patterns

- State transitions are affected by hysteresis.
- Extinction can occur despite a coexistence state being stable.
- Ecosystem resilience depends on both current and past states of the system.

Hysteresis



Spatial species distribution



- The model captures the spatial species distribution of grasses and trees in vegetation patterns.
- The faster the coloniser's dispersal, the more pronounced is its presence at the top edge of each stripe.

Conclusions

- Spatial self-organisation is a coexistence mechanism.
- Coexistence is enabled by spatial heterogeneities in the resource, caused by the consumers' self-organisation into patterns.
- A balance between species' colonisation abilities and local competitiveness promotes enables coexistence.
- Intraspecific competition among the superior coloniser stabilises coexistence under severe environmental stress.
- Coexistence may occur as a metastable state if the average fitness difference between species is small⁴.

⁴EL and Sherratt, J. A.: Bull. Math. Biol. 81.7 (2019).

Future Work

- How does nonlocal consumer dispersal affect species coexistence?⁵
- Do results extend to an arbitrary number of species?
- How do fluctuations in environmental conditions (in particular resource input) affect coexistence?
- In particular, what are the effects of seasonal⁶, intermittent⁷ and probabilistic resource input regimes on both single-species and multispecies states?

⁵EL and Sherratt, J. A.: *J. Math. Biol.* 77.3 (2018).

⁶EL and Sherratt, J. A.: *J. Math. Biol.* 81 (2020).

⁷EL and Sherratt, J. A.: *Physica D* 405 (2020).

References

Slides are available on my website. https://lukaseigentler.github.io

- Eigentler, L.: 'Species coexistence in resource-limited patterned ecosystems is facilitated by the interplay of spatial self-organisation and intraspecific competition'. *Oikos* 130.4 (2021), pp. 609–623.
- Eigentler, L.: 'Intraspecific competition in models for vegetation patterns: decrease in resilience to aridity and facilitation of species coexistence'. *Ecol. Complexity* 42 (2020), p. 100835.
- Eigentler, L. and Sherratt, J. A.: 'Spatial self-organisation enables species coexistence in a model for savanna ecosystems'. *J. Theor. Biol.* 487 (2020), p. 110122.